Fibred Fibration Categories

Taichi Uemura
Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Kyoto University
Email: uemura@kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp
Website: http://www.kurims.kyoto-u.ac.jp/~uemura

arXiv:1602.08206v4 [math.CT] 11 Jan 2017

***Abstract*— We introduce fibred type-theoretic fibration categories which are fibred categories between categorical models of Martin-Löf type theory. Fibred type-theoretic fibration categories give a categorical description of logical predicates for identity types. As an application, we show a relational parametricity result on homotopy type theory. As a corollary, it follows that every polymorphic endofunction on a loop space is homotopic to some iterated concatenation of a loop.**

I. Introduction

Homotopy type theory [1] is a recent subject that combines Martin-Löf type theory [2] with homotopy theory. The key idea is to identify types as spaces, elements as points and equalities between elements as paths between points. It provides an abstract language for proving homotopy-theoretic theorems. Among abstract languages of this sort, including model categories [3], [4] and various other models for $(\infty, 1)$-categories [5], [6], homotopy type theory has unique tools which are convenient to formalize homotopy theory.

One such tool is **higher inductive types**. They give a simple way to construct spaces such as spheres, tori and other cell complexes. We can define functions on a higher inductive type "by recursion" and prove theorems on a higher inductive type "by induction," just like functions and theorems on natural numbers. Another tool is Voevodsky's **univalence axiom**. Informally, this states that equivalent types are identical. With this axiom we can prove that isomorphic groups are equal, equivalent categories are equal, isometric Hilbert spaces are equal and any other isomorphisms between mathematical structures can be replaced by equalities. Higher inductive types and the univalence axiom provide a synthetic way to prove homotopy-theoretic theorems, and the proofs are formalized in proof assistants such as Coq and Agda [7], [8].

Homotopy type theory provides such new technical tools for algebraic topologists, but what can we say about type theory itself? In particular, how do the univalence axiom and higher inductive types affect the behavior of type theory? In the study of type theory, "logical predicate" has been a useful technique for analyzing type theories. We expect that this technique is useful for homotopy type theory, but what is a logical predicate for homotopy type theory? Shulman introduced *type-theoretic fibration categories* as sound and complete categorical semantics of Martin-Löf type theory and proved that the *gluing construction* $(\mathbb{D} \downarrow \Gamma)_{\mathrm{f}}$ for a suitable functor $\Gamma : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{D}$ between type-theoretic fibration categories is again a type-theoretic fibration category [9]. In his formulation, a logical predicate is a section of a gluing construction over the syntactic category.

In this paper, we give an alternative look of Shulman's formulation. We regard $(\mathbb{D} \downarrow \Gamma)_{\mathrm{f}}$ as a *fibred category* $(\mathbb{D} \downarrow \Gamma)_{\mathrm{f}} \to \mathbb{C}$ such that all fibers have type-theoretic structures and the total type-theoretic structure is obtained from the fiberwise ones. We show that for a fibred category whose base category is a type-theoretic fibration category, total and fiberwise structures of type-theoretic fibration category coincide under some conditions. This gives a correct notion of fibred category between type-theoretic fibration categories. We call such a fibred category a *fibred type-theoretic fibration category*.

Fibred categories are used as models of logical predicates in the study of categorical type theory [10], [11]. For a fibred category and an interpretation of a type theory in the base category, a logical predicate on the interpretation is a cross-section of the fibred category over the syntactic category. In our formulation, a logical predicate for Martin-Löf type theory is a cross-section of a fibred type-theoretic fibration category over the syntactic category. We have a similar formulation of logical predicates for homotopy type theory by introducing the notion of *fibred univalent universe*.

As an application, we show a relational parametricity result on homotopy type theory. Relational parametricity, originally called the *abstraction theorem*, is well-developed in the study of polymorphic type theory. It says that terms evaluated under related environments yield related values [12], [13]. Parametricity for dependent type theory was studied by Atkey, Ghani and Johann for Martin-Löf type theory [14], and by Bernardy, Jansonn and Paterson for pure type system [15]. We show a similar theorem in homotopy type theory using a logical predicate with respect to the *relational model* $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbb{T}) \to \mathbb{T}$ for the syntactic category $\mathbb{T}$, which is an example of fibred type-theoretic fibration category, whose total category is the category of binary type families.

As a corollary of the relational parametricity, we show the *homotopy unicity property* on polymorphic functions in homotopy type theory. A typical example of polymorphic function in homotopy type theory is a function f of the type $\Pi_{X:\mathcal{U}}\Pi_{x:X} x = x \to x = x$. This type cannot be defined in polymorphic type theory because it uses dependent types, and it seems to be trivial without homotopy-theoretic interpretation. It follows from the relational parametricity that f must be homotopic to an iterated concatenation of a loop, that is, for some integer n, $f(l) = l^n$ for all $l : x = x$. Note that, assuming the law of excluded middle, this property does

not hold because the law of excluded middle allows us the case analysis on types. We have a partial answer to the question how the univalence axiom affects the type theory: the univalence axiom does not violate the relational parametricity, while the law of excluded middle does.

There are some related work in the study of abstract homotopy theory. Roig [16] and Stanculescu [17] considered weak factorization systems and model structures on bifibred categories and gave a construction from fiberwise structures to total structures. Szumiło [18] introduced fibrations of (co)fibration categories to study the homotopy theory of homotopy theories.

Organization. We begin in Section II by recalling definition and basic properties of type-theoretic fibration categories. In Section III we define fibred type-theoretic fibration categories and give two constructions of them. One construction is the *fiberwise-to-total construction* and the other is the *change of base*. We also define the *internal language* for a fibred type-theoretic fibration category and show the "basic lemma" for logical predicates. In Section IV we discuss universes and the univalence axiom in a fibred type-theoretic fibration category. We construct a univalent universe in the total category from fiberwise ones. We also show that the univalence axiom is preserved by the change of base of fibred type-theoretic fibration categories. Finally in Section V, we show a relational parametricity result on homotopy type theory and its corollaries.

II. Type-Theoretic Fibration Categories

First of all, we fix a notion of categorical models of Martin-Löf's dependent type theory with dependent product types, dependent sum types and identity types. Among various categorical models of Martin-Löf type theory, we use type-theoretic fibration category because it seems to have the simplest formulation of identity types.

Definition 2.1: Let $i : A \to B$ and $p : C \to D$ in a category. The morphism i has the *left lifting property with respect to* p (p has the *right lifting property with respect to* i) if for all $f : A \to C$ and $g : B \to D$ such that $p \circ f = g \circ i$, there exists an $h : B \to C$ such that $h \circ i = f$ and $p \circ h = g$.

Definition 2.2: [19, Definition 2.2] A *type-theoretic fibration category* is a category $\mathbb{C}$ equipped with a terminal object 1 and a subcategory $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{C}$ satisfying the conditions below. Here a morphism in $\mathcal{F}$ is called a *fibration* and denoted by a two headed arrow $A \twoheadrightarrow B$, and a morphism that has the left lifting property with respect to all fibrations is called an *acyclic cofibration* and denoted by $A \overset{\sim}{\rightarrowtail} B$.

1) All isomorphisms and all morphisms with codomain 1 are fibrations.
2) Fibrations are closed under pullbacks: if $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ is a fibration and $s : B' \to B$ is any morphism, then there exists a pullback s^*A of A along s, and the morphism $s^*A \to B'$ is again a fibration.
3) Every morphism factors as an acyclic cofibration followed by a fibration.
4) For any fibrations $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ and $g : B \twoheadrightarrow C$, there exist a fibration $\Pi_g f : \Pi_g A \twoheadrightarrow C$ and a natural bijection
$$\mathbb{C}/C\,(h, \Pi_g f) \cong \mathbb{C}/B\,(g^*h, f)$$
for $h : X \to C$. Such a fibration $\Pi_g f$ is called a *dependent product of f along g*.

Remark 2.3: The condition 4 of Definition 2.2 implies that the pullback along a fibration preserves acyclic cofibrations.

Example 2.4: If a category $\mathbb{C}$ has finite limits and is locally cartesian closed, then $\mathbb{C}$ is a type-theoretic fibration category where every morphism is a fibration. In this case, the acyclic cofibrations are the isomorphisms.

Example 2.5: Let $\mathbb{C}$ be a type-theoretic fibration category. Write $(\mathbb{C}/A)_{\mathrm{f}}$ for the full subcategory of $\mathbb{C}/A$ where the objects are the fibrations over A. The category $(\mathbb{C}/A)_{\mathrm{f}}$ is a type-theoretic fibration category whose fibrations are the morphisms that are fibrations in $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.6: A functor between type-theoretic fibration categories is a *type-theoretic functor* if it preserves terminal objects, fibrations, pullbacks of fibrations, acyclic cofibrations, and dependent products.

Example 2.7: For a type-theoretic fibration category $\mathbb{C}$ and its morphism $s : A \to B$, consider the pullback functor $s^* : (\mathbb{C}/B)_{\mathrm{f}} \to (\mathbb{C}/A)_{\mathrm{f}}$. It preserves fibrations by definition of type-theoretic fibration category and acyclic cofibrations by the following Lemma 2.8. Moreover it preserves dependent products by Lemma 2.9 below. Thus s^* is a type-theoretic functor.

Lemma 2.8: [19, Lemma 2.3] Given the following diagram in a type-theoretic fibration category



where $A \twoheadrightarrow C$ and $B \twoheadrightarrow C$ are fibrations and $f : A \overset{\sim}{\rightarrowtail} B$ is an acyclic cofibration, then $s^*f : s^*A \to s^*B$ is an acyclic cofibration.

Lemma 2.9: The dependent products in a type-theoretic fibration category $\mathbb{C}$ satisfy the Beck-Chevalley condition: if the following diagram is a pullback of a fibration



then the canonical natural transformation $k^*\Pi_g \Rightarrow \Pi_{g'} h^*$ is an isomorphism.

Proof: For any fibration $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$, $k^*\Pi_g A$ has the same universal property as $\Pi_{g'} h^* A$. Indeed, for any morphism $x : X \to C'$ we have natural bijections

$$\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{\dfrac{x \to k^*\Pi_g f \text{ in } \mathbb{C}/C'}{k \circ x \to \Pi_g f \text{ in } \mathbb{C}/C}}{g^*(k \circ x) \to f \text{ in } \mathbb{C}/B}}{h \circ g'^* x \to f \text{ in } \mathbb{C}/B}}{g'^* x \to h^* f \text{ in } \mathbb{C}/B'.}$$

■

Type-theoretic fibration category is a categorical model of Martin-Löf type theory. A type $\Gamma \vdash A$ type is interpreted by a fibration $A \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$, and a term $\Gamma \vdash a : A$ is interpreted by a section of the fibration $A \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$. A dependent sum type $\Gamma \vdash \Sigma_{a:A} B(a)$ type is interpreted by the composition of fibrations $B \twoheadrightarrow A \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$. A dependent product type $\Gamma \vdash \Pi_{a:A} B(a)$ type is interpreted by a dependent product of $B \twoheadrightarrow A$ along $A \twoheadrightarrow \Gamma$. An identity type $\Gamma, a : A, a' : A \vdash a = a'$ type is interpreted by a factorization $A \xrightarrow{\sim} P_\Gamma A \twoheadrightarrow A \times_\Gamma A$ of the diagonal morphism $A \to A \times_\Gamma A$. Such an object $P_\Gamma A$ is called a *path object of A over Γ*.

We state some properties of type-theoretic fibration categories for future use.

Lemma 2.10: If $f : A \xrightarrow{\sim} B$ is an acyclic cofibration, then there exists a morphism $g : B \to A$ such that $gf = 1$.

Proof: Use the lifting property with respect to the fibration $A \twoheadrightarrow 1$. ■

Lemma 2.11: [19, Lemma 2.4] For $f : A \to B$ and $g : B \to C$, if g and gf are acyclic cofibrations, then so is f.

III. Fibred Type-Theoretic Fibration Categories

In this section we introduce fibred type-theoretic fibration categories and give standard constructions of them. One construction is the *fiberwise-to-total construction*: given a fibred category whose base category and all fibers are type-theoretic fibration categories, we can make the total category a type-theoretic fibration category under some extra conditions. Another construction is the *change of base*. It gives a lot of examples of fibred type-theoretic fibration category. We also define the *internal language* for a fibred type-theoretic fibration category and explain the syntactic intuition of fibred type-theoretic fibration categories. Finally we give a categorical definition of *logical predicates* for Martin-Löf type theory and prove the "basic lemma" for logical predicates.

Definition 3.1: A *fibred type-theoretic fibration category* is a fibred category [11, Definition 1.1.3] $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ satisfying the following conditions.

1) The categories $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{B}$ are type-theoretic fibration categories and p is a type-theoretic functor.
2) Every cartesian morphism above a fibration is a fibration.
3) In the following diagram in $\mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$





if g and s are fibrations, then the induced morphism $A \to t^*C$ above s is a fibration.

4) Every cartesian morphism above an acyclic cofibration is an acyclic cofibration.

A. Fiberwise-to-Total Construction

Definition 3.2: Let $p : \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{D}$ be a functor. A morphism $f : A \to B$ in $\mathbb{C}$ is *weakly p-cartesian* if for any morphisms $g : X \to B$ and $s : pX \to pA$ such that $pf \circ s = pg$, there exists a morphism $h : X \to A$ such that $f \circ h = g$ and $ph = s$.

Theorem 3.3: Suppose $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ is a fibred category satisfying the following conditions.

1) The base category $\mathbb{B}$ and all fibers $\mathbb{E}_I$ are type-theoretic fibration categories.
2) For every morphism $s : I \to J$ in $\mathbb{B}$, the reindexing functor $s^* : \mathbb{E}_J \to \mathbb{E}_I$ is a type-theoretic functor.
3) For every acyclic cofibration $s : I \xrightarrow{\sim} J$ in $\mathbb{B}$, every fibration in $\mathbb{E}_J$ is weakly s^*-cartesian.
4) For any fibration $s : I \twoheadrightarrow J$ in $\mathbb{B}$, the reindexing functor $s^* : \mathbb{E}_J \to \mathbb{E}_I$ has a right adjoint s_* preserving fibrations. Moreover, the Beck-Chevalley condition holds: if the following diagram is a pullback of a fibration



then the canonical natural transformation $r^* s_* \Rightarrow s'_* t^*$ is an isomorphism.

Then $\mathbb{E}$ has a structure of type-theoretic fibration category whose fibrations are the Reedy fibrations defined below, and p is a fibred type-theoretic fibration category.

Example 3.4: Let $\mathbb{C}$ be a type-theoretic fibration category. Write $(\mathbb{C}^{\to})_{\mathrm{f}}$ for the full subcategory of the arrow category $\mathbb{C}^{\to}$ where the objects are the fibrations in $\mathbb{C}$. Consider the codomain functor $\mathbf{cod} : (\mathbb{C}^{\to})_{\mathrm{f}} \to \mathbb{C}$. This functor satisfies the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3. Its fiber at an object A is $(\mathbb{C}/A)_{\mathrm{f}}$ and is a type-theoretic fibration category as in Example 2.5. By Example 2.7, a reindexing functor is a type-theoretic functor. The condition 3 follows from the fact that a pullback of an acyclic cofibration along a fibration is an acyclic cofibration. Finally, a right adjoint to the pullback functor along a fibration is given by the dependent product. Thus the codomain functor is a fibred type-theoretic fibration category. A morphism $(f_1, f_0) : (\alpha : A_1 \twoheadrightarrow A_0) \to (\beta : B_1 \twoheadrightarrow B_0)$ in $(\mathbb{C}^{\to})_{\mathrm{f}}$ is a fibration if and only if $f_0 : A_0 \to B_0$ is a fibration and the induced morphism $A_1 \to B_1 \times_{A_0} A_1$ is a fibration.

Note that the fact that $(\mathbb{C}^{\to})_{\mathrm{f}}$ is a type-theoretic fibration category was originally proved by Shulman [9, Theorem 8.8]. Our contribution is to give a fibred categorical description for the construction.

In the rest of the section we prove Theorem 3.3. Throughout the section we assume $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ is a fibred category satisfying all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3.

Definition 3.5: Let $f : A \to B$ be a morphism in $\mathbb{E}$. The morphism f is a *Reedy fibration* if pf is a fibration in $\mathbb{B}$ and the induced morphism $A \to (pf)^* B$ is a fibration in $\mathbb{E}_{pA}$. The morphism f is a *Reedy acyclic cofibration* if pf is an acyclic cofibration in $\mathbb{B}$ and f factors as a cartesian morphism above pf followed by an acyclic cofibration in $\mathbb{E}_{pB}$.



Lemma 3.6: Suppose $s : I \xrightarrow{\sim} J$ is an acyclic cofibration in $\mathbb{B}$ and A is an object above I. Then there exist an object $s_!A$ above J and a cartesian morphism $\eta : A \to s_!A$ above s.

Proof: By Lemma 2.10, there exists a morphism $t : J \to I$ such that $ts = 1$. Therefore $A \cong s^*t^*A$ above I, and thus we have a cartesian morphism $A \to t^*A$ above s. ∎

Lemma 3.7: Every cartesian morphism above an acyclic cofibration has the left lifting property with respect to all the vertical fibrations: for every acyclic cofibration $s : I \xrightarrow{\sim} J$ and cartesian morphism $f : A \to B$ above s, if $g : C \twoheadrightarrow D$ is a fibration in the following diagram in $\mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$



then there exists a filling morphism $h : B \to C$.

Proof: By reindexing, we can assume $K = J$ and $J \to K$ is the identity. Then the statement is equivalent to the condition 3. ∎

Lemma 3.8: Every morphism $f : A \to B$ in $\mathbb{E}$ factors as a Reedy acyclic cofibration followed by a Reedy fibration.

Proof: Let $s = pf : I \to J$. Then $s = r \circ t$ for some acyclic cofibration $t : I \xrightarrow{\sim} K$ and fibration $r : K \twoheadrightarrow J$. Using the lifting property in Lemma 3.7 with respect to the fibration $r^*B \twoheadrightarrow 1$ in $\mathbb{E}_K$, we have a morphism $t_!A \to r^*B$ in $\mathbb{E}_K$ such that the following diagram commutes



Taking a factorization in $\mathbb{E}_K$, we have an acyclic cofibration $t_!A \xrightarrow{\sim} C$ followed by a fibration $C \twoheadrightarrow r^*B$. The morphisms A to C and C to B give the desired factorization. ∎

Lemma 3.9: The total category $\mathbb{E}$ has a dependent product of a fibration along a fibration.

Proof: Suppose $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ and $g : B \twoheadrightarrow C$ is fibrations above $s : I \twoheadrightarrow J$ and $t : J \twoheadrightarrow K$ respectively. We construct a fibration $\Pi_g f : \Pi_g A \twoheadrightarrow C$ above $\Pi_t s : \Pi_t I \twoheadrightarrow K$. First we get a dependent product $\Pi_{s^*\langle g\rangle}\langle f\rangle : \Pi_{s^*\langle g\rangle} A \twoheadrightarrow s^*t^*C$ above I where $\langle f\rangle : A \twoheadrightarrow s^*B$ and $\langle g\rangle : B \twoheadrightarrow t^*C$ are the morphisms induced by cartesianness. Let $\varepsilon : t^*\Pi_t I \to I$ be the counit of the adjunction $t^* \dashv \Pi_t$ and $\bar{t} : t^*\Pi_t I \twoheadrightarrow \Pi_t I$ the upper fibration of the pullback of $\Pi_t I$ along t.



Since $ts\varepsilon = (\Pi_t s)\bar{t}$, we have $\varepsilon^* s^* t^* C \cong \bar{t}^*(\Pi_t s)^* C$. Thus we have the unit $\eta : (\Pi_t s)^* C \to \bar{t}_* \varepsilon^* s^* t^* C$ of the adjunction $\bar{t}^* \dashv \bar{t}_*$. Then the composition $\eta^* \bar{t}_* \varepsilon^* \Pi_{s^*\langle g\rangle} A \twoheadrightarrow (\Pi_t s)^* C \twoheadrightarrow C$ is a Reedy fibration. We show that it satisfies the universal property of dependent product.

Let $x : X \to C$ be a morphism in $\mathbb{E}$ above $a : L \to K$. Let $b : L \to \Pi_t I$ be a morphism over K which corresponds to $\hat{b} : t^*L \to I$ over J as $\varepsilon \circ t^* b = \hat{b}$. The goal is to construct a natural bijection between the set of morphisms $X \to \eta^* \bar{t}_* \varepsilon^* \Pi_{s^*\langle g\rangle} A$ above b over C and the set of morphisms $g^*X \to A$ above $\hat{b}$ over B. A morphism $y : X \to \eta^* \bar{t}_* \varepsilon^* \Pi_{s^*\langle g\rangle} A$ above b over C corresponds to a morphism $y_1 : X \to b^* \bar{t}_* \varepsilon^* \Pi_{s^*\langle g\rangle} A$ above L such that



commutes. Consider the pullbacks



Then $b^*\eta$ is isomorphic to $\eta_{b^*(\Pi_t s)^* C} : b^*(\Pi_t s)C \to \tilde{t}_* \tilde{t}^* b^*(\Pi_t s)^* C$ along $b^* \bar{t}_* \bar{t}^* \cong \tilde{t}_* \bar{b}^* \bar{t}^* \cong \tilde{t}_* \tilde{t}^* b^*$ by the Beck-Chevalley condition. Thus the morphism y_1 corresponds to a morphism $y_2 : \tilde{t}^* X \to \bar{b}^* \varepsilon^* \Pi_{s^*\langle g\rangle} A$ over $\tilde{t}^* b^*(\Pi_t s)^* C \cong \bar{b}^* \bar{t}^*(\Pi_t s)^* C \cong \bar{b}^* \varepsilon^* s^* t^* C$. Since $\varepsilon \circ \bar{b} = \hat{b}$, y_2 corresponds to a morphism $y_3 : \tilde{t}^* X \to \hat{b}^* \Pi_{s^*\langle g\rangle} A$ over $\hat{b}^* s^* t^* C$. By assumption the reindexing functor $\hat{b}^*$ preserves dependent products, and thus $\hat{b}^* \Pi_{s^*\langle g\rangle} A \cong \Pi_{\hat{b}^* s^*\langle g\rangle}(\hat{b}^* A)$. Since $s \circ \hat{b} = t^* a : t^*L \to J$, y_3 corresponds to a morphism

$y_4 : (\bar{a}^*\langle g\rangle)^*\tilde{t}^*X \to \hat{b}^*A$ over $\hat{b}^*s^*B$ where $\bar{a} = t^*a$. Observe that $(\bar{a}^*\langle g\rangle)^*\tilde{t}^*X$ is a pullback of X along $g : B \twoheadrightarrow C$ in $\mathbb{E}$. Thus y_4 corresponds to a morphism $\hat{y} : g^*X \to A$ above $\hat{b}$ over B. ∎

Proof of Theorem 3.3: Clearly $\mathbb{E}$ has a terminal object and Reedy fibrations are closed under pullbacks. We can easily show that Reedy acyclic cofibrations have the left lifting property with respect to all the Reedy fibrations. Thus Lemmas 3.8 and 3.9 show that $\mathbb{E}$ is a type-theoretic fibration category whose fibrations are the Reedy fibrations. The other conditions of Definition 3.1 are clear by definition. ∎

B. Basic Properties

We give some basic properties of fibred type-theoretic fibration categories. Throughout the section, let $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category.

Proposition 3.10: Given the following diagram in $\mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$



then there exists a filling morphism $h : B \to C$ above r.

Proof: By reindexing, we have the following diagram in $\mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$



Then we have a filling morphism $k : B \to r^*C$, and ph must be the identity. The composition $B \to r^*C \to C$ is a filling morphism above r. ∎

Lemma 3.11: Let $f : A \to B$ be a morphism in a fiber $\mathbb{E}_I$. Then f factors as an acyclic cofibration above I followed by a fibration above I.

Proof: First we have the following factorization in $\mathbb{E}$



By Lemma 2.11, the induced morphism $A \to s^*C$ is an acyclic cofibration. Therefore we have the following factorization in $\mathbb{E}_I$



∎

Proposition 3.12: Let $f : A \to B$ be a morphism in $\mathbb{E}$ and

be a factorization of pf. Then there exists a factorization



above (s, t).

Proof: As in Lemma 3.6, we have a cartesian morphism $\eta : A \to s_!A$ above s. By Proposition 3.10, applied for the fibration $t^*B \twoheadrightarrow 1_K$, we have a factorization of f



where η, k and ε are above s, K and t respectively. Take a vertical factorization of k using Lemma 3.11. ∎

Proposition 3.13: In the following diagram in $\mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$



if the horizontal morphisms are cartesian and s' and f are acyclic cofibrations, then f' is an acyclic cofibration.

Proof: Since a cartesian morphism above an acyclic cofibration is an acyclic cofibration, it is enough to show that the induced morphism $\hat{f}' : A' \to s'^*B'$ is an acyclic cofibration. The induced morphism $\hat{f} : A \to s^*B$ is an acyclic cofibration by Lemma 2.11, and $\hat{f}'$ is a pullback of $\hat{f}$ along the morphims $1_{I'} \to 1_I$. Hence $\hat{f}'$ is an acyclic cofibration. ∎

C. Change of Base

Proposition 3.14: Let $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category, $\mathbb{A}$ a type-theoretic fibration category and $F : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}$ a functor preserving fibrations, pullbacks of fibrations and acyclic cofibrations. Then the change of base $F^*\mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{A}$ of p along F is a fibred type-theoretic fibration category where the fibrations are the levelwise fibrations: a morphism $(s, f) : (I, A) \to (J, B)$ in $F^*\mathbb{E}$ is a fibration if and only if s and f are fibrations.

Proof: We first show that the total category $F^*\mathbb{E}$ is a type-theoretic fibration category. The object $(1_{\mathbb{A}}, !^*_{F(1_{\mathbb{A}})}1_{\mathbb{E}})$ is a terminal object in $F^*\mathbb{E}$ where $!_{F(1_{\mathbb{A}})} : F(1_{\mathbb{A}}) \to 1_{\mathbb{B}}$ is the unique morphism to the terminal object in $\mathbb{B}$. The unique

morphism $(I, X) \to (1_{\mathbb{A}}, !^*_{F(1_{\mathbb{A}})} 1_{\mathbb{E}})$ is a levelwise fibration by the condition 3 of Definition 3.1. A pullback of a fibration is calculated by levelwise pullbacks. Thus $F^*\mathbb{E}$ satisfies the conditions 1 and 2 of Definition 2.2

Proposition 3.12 and preservation of acyclic cofibrations and fibrations imply that every morphism in $F^*\mathbb{E}$ factors as a levelwise acyclic cofibration followed by a levelwise fibration. Proposition 3.10 shows that any levelwise acyclic cofibration has the left lifting property with respect to all the levelwise fibrations. Therefore the condition 3 holds.

To construct a dependent product, let $s : I \twoheadrightarrow J$ and $t : J \twoheadrightarrow K$ be fibrations in $\mathbb{A}$ and $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ and $g : B \twoheadrightarrow C$ be fibrations above Fs and Ft respectively. Let $\varepsilon_0 : t^*\Pi_t I \to I$ be the counit of the adjunction $t^* \dashv \Pi_t$. Then we have a morphism $\varepsilon' : F(\Pi_t I) \to \Pi_{Ft} FI$ over FK which corresponds to $(Ft)^* F(\Pi_t I) \cong F(t^*\Pi_t I) \overset{F\varepsilon_0}{\to} FI$. The composition $\varepsilon'^*\Pi_g A \to \Pi_g A \to C$ is a fibration because it factors in $\mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ as



where all arrows in the middle row and $\bar{\varepsilon'}$ are cartesian morphisms so that the upper left square is a pullback. It is easy to check that the fibration $(\Pi_t I, \varepsilon'^*\Pi_g A) \twoheadrightarrow (K, C)$ is a dependent product of (s, f) along (t, g). Hence the total category $F^*\mathbb{E}$ is a type-theoretic fibration category.

To show that $F^*\mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{A}$ is a fibred type-theoretic fibration category is easy and left to the reader. ∎

Example 3.15: Let $F : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a functor between type-theoretic fibration categories preserving fibrations, pullbacks of fibrations and acyclic cofibrations. The change of base of $\mathbf{cod} : (\mathbb{B}^{\to})_{\mathrm{f}} \to \mathbb{B}$ along F is called the *gluing construction for* F, and we write the total category as $(\mathbb{B} \downarrow F)_{\mathrm{f}}$. It is the full subcategory of the comma category $(\mathbb{B} \downarrow F)$ where the objects are the triples of objects $B \in \mathbb{B}$ and $A \in \mathbb{A}$ and a fibration $u : B \twoheadrightarrow FA$.

Example 3.16: Let $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category. The change of base of p along the functor $I \mapsto I \times I$ is called the *relational model for* p, and we write the total category as $\mathbf{Rel}(p)$. Its objects are the pairs of objects $I \in \mathbb{B}$ and $A \in \mathbb{E}_{I \times I}$. We can think of $\mathbf{Rel}(p)$ as the category of binary relations or binary type families.

As a corollary of change of base, we have the converse of Theorem 3.3. Since structure of type-theoretic fibration category is determined by its fibrations, the constructions of Theorem 3.3 and Theorem 3.17 below are mutually inverse.

Theorem 3.17: Let $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category. Then each fiber $\mathbb{E}_I$ is a type-theoretic fibration category, restricting the structure of $\mathbb{E}$, and all the hypotheses of Theorem 3.3 hold.

Proof: By Proposition 3.14, each fiber $\mathbb{E}_I$ is a type-theoretic fibration category. We check the other conditions of Theorem 3.3.

Observe that the morphism part of the reindexing functor along a morphism s is given by the pullback squares

$$\begin{array}{ccc} s^*A & \longrightarrow & A \\ {\scriptstyle s^*f}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} \\ s^*B & \longrightarrow & B \end{array}$$

in $\mathbb{E}$. Thus the reindexing functor preserves fibrations and pullbacks of fibrations. Using Lemma 2.8 it also preserves acyclic cofibrations. It also preserves terminal objects by the construction of terminal objects in the proof of Proposition 3.14. Using Lemma 2.9 it preserves dependent products. Thus the condition 2 holds. Since a cartesian morphism above an acyclic cofibration is an acyclic cofibration, the condition 3 holds.

To show the condition 4, let $s : I \twoheadrightarrow J$ be a fibration in $\mathbb{B}$ and A an object of $\mathbb{E}_I$. Write 1_I for the terminal object of $\mathbb{E}_I$. The unique morphism $f : 1_I \to 1_J$ above u is a cartesian morphism and thus a fibration. Define $s_* A = \Pi_f A$. Since $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ preserves dependent products, $s_* A$ is above J. Therefore s_* determines a functor $\mathbb{E}_I \to \mathbb{E}_J$ which is a right adjoint to s^* and satisfies the Beck-Chevalley condition by Lemma 2.9. ∎

D. Internal Language for a Fibred Type-Theoretic Fibration Category

We define the *internal language* $\mathcal{L}(p)$ for a fibred type-theoretic fibration category $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$. It is a type theory with two sorts *kind* and *type*, where types depend on some kinds. The theory of kinds is the internal language of $\mathbb{B}$ which is a Martin-Löf type theory written in the manner $\gamma : \Gamma \vdash \Delta(\gamma)\ \mathsf{kind}$ for a kind judgment and $\gamma : \Gamma \vdash \delta(\gamma) : \Delta(\gamma)$ for a term judgment. The theory of types over a kind Γ is the internal language of $\mathbb{E}_\Gamma$, written in the manner $\gamma : \Gamma \mid a : A(\gamma) \vdash B(\gamma; a)\ \mathsf{type}$ for a type judgment and $\gamma : \Gamma \mid a : A(\gamma) \vdash b(\gamma; a) : B(\gamma; a)$ for a term judgment. Corresponding to reindexings, there are rules for *substitution*:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \Delta \qquad \delta : \Delta \mid a : A(\delta) \vdash B(\delta; a)\ \mathsf{type}}{\Gamma \mid a : A(f) \vdash B(f; a)\ \mathsf{type}}$$

and

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash f : \Delta \qquad \delta : \Delta \mid a : A(\delta) \vdash b(\delta; a) : B(\delta; a)}{\Gamma \mid a : A(f) \vdash b(f; a) : B(f; a).}$$

Corresponding to the condition 4 of Theorem 3.3, there is a *dependent product type over a kind*:

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \Delta\ \mathsf{kind} \qquad \Gamma, \delta : \Delta \mid a : A(\delta) \vdash B(\delta; a)\ \mathsf{type}}{\Gamma \mid a : \Pi_{\delta:\Delta} A(\delta) \vdash \Pi_{\delta:\Delta} B(\delta; a\delta)\ \mathsf{type}}$$

with the obvious introduction and elimination rules. The condition 3 of Theorem 3.3 corresponds to the *path induction on an identity kind with respect to type families*:

$$\frac{\gamma : \Gamma, \gamma' : \Gamma, \delta : \gamma = \gamma' \mid a : A(\delta) \vdash B(\delta; a)\ \mathsf{type} \qquad \gamma : \Gamma \mid a : A(\mathsf{refl}_\gamma) \vdash b(\gamma; a) : B(\mathsf{refl}_\gamma; a)}{\gamma : \Gamma, \gamma' : \Gamma, \delta : \gamma = \gamma' \mid a : A(\delta) \vdash \mathsf{Ind}^{B,b}_{=_\Gamma}(\delta; a) : B(\delta; a)}$$

with the computational rule $\mathsf{Ind}^{B,b}_{=_\Gamma}(\mathsf{refl}_\gamma; a) \equiv b(\gamma; a)$.

Theorem 3.3 means that the total category is a model of Martin-Löf type theory where types are pairs of $() \vdash \Gamma\ \mathsf{kind}$ and $\gamma : \Gamma \mid () \vdash A(\gamma)\ \mathsf{type}$. The construction of factorization described in the proof of Lemma 3.8 implies that the identity type of $((() \vdash \Gamma\ \mathsf{kind}, \gamma : \Gamma \mid () \vdash a : A(\gamma)\ \mathsf{type})$ is implemented as $(\gamma : \Gamma, \gamma' : \Gamma \vdash \gamma = \gamma\ \mathsf{kind}, \gamma : \Gamma, \gamma' : \Gamma, \delta : \gamma = \gamma \mid a : A(\gamma), a' : A(\gamma') \vdash \delta_* a = a'\ \mathsf{type})$, where $\delta_* : A(\gamma) \to A(\gamma')$ is the transport along the path δ. The type $\delta_* a = a'$ is a type of *paths from a to a' over δ* and written as $a =_\delta a'$. The construction of dependent product described in the proof of Lemma 3.9 implies that the dependent product of a type family $(\gamma : \Gamma \vdash \Delta(\gamma)\ \mathsf{kind}, \gamma : \Gamma, \delta : \Delta(\gamma) \mid a : A(\gamma) \vdash B(\gamma, \delta; a))$ over $((() \vdash \Gamma\ \mathsf{kind}, \gamma : \Gamma \mid () \vdash A(\gamma)\ \mathsf{type})$ is implemented as $((() \vdash \Pi_{\gamma:\Gamma}\Delta(\gamma)\ \mathsf{kind}, f : \Pi_{\gamma:\Gamma}\Delta(\gamma) \mid () \vdash \Pi_{\gamma:\Gamma}\Pi_{a:A(\gamma)}B(\gamma, f\gamma; a)\ \mathsf{type})$.

As a consequence of the soundness of the interpretation of Martin-Löf type theory in type-theoretic fibration categories, we have an important property of logical predicates so called the "basic lemma."

Definition 3.18: For a Martin-Löf type theory M, write $\mathbb{T}(M)$ for the syntactic category of M. For a type-theoretic fibration category $\mathbb{C}$, an *interpretation of M in $\mathbb{C}$* is a type-theoretic functor from $\mathbb{T}(M)$ to $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 3.19: Let $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category and $F : \mathbb{T}(M) \to \mathbb{B}$ an interpretation of a Martin-Löf type theory M in $\mathbb{B}$. A *logical predicate on F with respect to p* is an interpretation $R : \mathbb{T}(M) \to \mathbb{E}$ such that $p \circ R = F$.

Corollary 3.20 (Basic Lemma): Let $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category and $R : \mathbb{T}(M) \to \mathbb{E}$ a logical predicate on an interpretation $F : \mathbb{T}(M) \to \mathbb{B}$ of a Martin-Löf type theory M in $\mathbb{B}$. Then for any term $a : A \vdash t(a) : B(a)$, there exists a term $a : FA \mid r : RA(a) \vdash \hat{t}(a; r) : RB(a, Ft(a); r)$ in the internal language for p, where $\hat{t}$ is the induced morphism $RA \to (Ft)^* RB$ from $Rt : RA \to RB$.

IV. Univalence in a Fibred Type-Theoretic Fibration Category

We construct a univalent universe in the total category of a fibred type-theoretic fibration category from univalent universes in the base category and in the fiber at the terminal object. The new universe is fibred in some sense and called a *fibred universe*. A fibred univalent universe is preserved by the change of base along a functor preserving small fibrations.

A. Universes in a Fibred Setting

Definition 4.1: [9, Definition 6.12] A fibration $u : \tilde{U} \to U$ in a type-theoretic fibration category $\mathbb{C}$ is a *universe* if the following conditions hold, where "(u-)small fibration" means "a pullback of u".

1) Small fibrations are closed under composition and contain the identities.
2) If $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ and $g : B \twoheadrightarrow C$ are small fibrations, so is $\Pi_g f : \Pi_g A \twoheadrightarrow C$.
3) If $A \twoheadrightarrow C$ and $B \twoheadrightarrow C$ are small fibrations, then any morphism $f : A \to B$ over C factors as an acyclic cofibration followed by a small fibration.

Definition 4.2: Let $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category. A *fibred universe* in p is a fibration $u : \tilde{U} \twoheadrightarrow U$ in $\mathbb{E}$ satisfying the following conditions.

1) Both u and pu are universes in $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{B}$ respectively.
2) For a u-small fibration $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ and a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} pA & \xrightarrow{k} & p\tilde{U} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ pB & \xrightarrow[h]{} & pU, \end{array}$$

there exists a pullback

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\bar{k}} & \tilde{U} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ B & \xrightarrow[\bar{h}]{} & U \end{array}$$

above h and k.
3) Every cartesian morphism above a pu-small fibration is a u-small fibration.
4) For every u-small fibration $g : A \twoheadrightarrow C$, pu-small fibration $s : pA \twoheadrightarrow J$ and arbitrary morphism $t : J \to pC$ such that $s \circ t = pg$, the induced morphism $A \to t^* C$ is a u-small fibration.

Proposition 4.3: Let $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category. Let $u : \tilde{U} \twoheadrightarrow U$ be a fibration in $\mathbb{B}$ and $v : \tilde{V} \twoheadrightarrow V$ a fibration in $\mathbb{E}_1$. Suppose the following conditions hold, where $v_I : \tilde{V}_I \to V_I$ is the reindexing of v along the unique morphism $I \to 1$ for $I \in \mathbb{B}$.

1) The fibrations u and all v_I are universes.
2) The fibration $u_* v_{\tilde{U}} : u_* \tilde{V}_{\tilde{U}} \twoheadrightarrow u_* V_{\tilde{U}}$ is small in $\mathbb{E}_U$.

Then p has a fibred universe $w : \tilde{W} \twoheadrightarrow W$ above u, defined as $W = u_* V_{\tilde{U}}$ and $\tilde{W} = \varepsilon^* \tilde{V}_{\tilde{U}}$ where $\varepsilon : u^* u_* V_{\tilde{U}} \to V_{\tilde{U}}$ is the counit of the adjunction $u^* \dashv u_*$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \tilde{V}_{\tilde{U}} & \longleftarrow & \varepsilon^* \tilde{V}_{\tilde{U}} = \tilde{W} & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & \overset{w}{\searrow} & \\ V_{\tilde{U}} & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon} & u^* u_* V_{\tilde{U}} & \longrightarrow & u_* V_{\tilde{U}} = W \end{array}$$

$$\tilde{U} = \tilde{U} \xrightarrow[u]{} U$$

To prove Proposition 4.3, we first characterize the w-small fibrations.

Lemma 4.4: In the setting of Proposition 4.3, a fibration $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ in $\mathbb{E}$ is w-small if and only if pf is u-small and the induced morphism $A \twoheadrightarrow (pf)^* B$ is v_{pX}-small.

Proof: Observe that a pullback of w along $g : B \to W$ is calculated by:

1) pulling $\tilde{U}$ back along pg as



and

2) calculating a pullback square in $\mathbb{E}_I$



The second pullback can be extended to pullbacks in $\mathbb{E}_I$



Therefore if $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ is a pullback of w, then pf is a pullback of u and the induced morphism $A \twoheadrightarrow (pf)^*B$ is a pullback of v_{pA}. Conversely, suppose pf is a pullback of u along $t : pB \to U$ with upper morphism $k : pA \to \tilde{U}$, and $A \twoheadrightarrow (pf)^*B$ is a pullback of v_{pA} along $h : (pf)^*B \to V_{pA}$ above pA. Then there exists a unique morphism $\bar{h} : B \to (pf)_*V_{pA}$ above pB such that $\varepsilon' \circ (pf)^*\bar{h} = h$ where $\varepsilon' : (pf)^*(pf)_* \Rightarrow 1$ is the counit of the adjunction $(pf)^* \dashv (pf)_*$. But $(pf)^*(pf)_*V_{pA} \cong (pf)^*(pf)_*k^*V_{\tilde{U}} \cong (pf)^*t^*u_*V_{\tilde{U}} \cong k^*u^*u_*V_{\tilde{U}} = k^*u^*W$, and thus A is a pullback of $k^*\tilde{W} \twoheadrightarrow k^*u^*W$ along $(pf)^*\bar{h} : (pf)_*B \to k^*u^*W$. Hence $f : A \twoheadrightarrow B$ is a pullback of w. ∎

Proof of Proposition 4.3: We check that w satisfies the conditions of Definition 4.1. Using Lemma 4.4, the condition 1 is clear. The condition 3 follows from the construction of factorization in $\mathbb{E}$ given in Lemma 3.8. By the construction of dependent products in $\mathbb{E}$ given in Lemma 3.9, in order to show 2 it is enough to prove that for every u-small fibration $f : I \twoheadrightarrow J$, f_* preserves small fibrations. To see this it is enough to show that $f_*v_I : f_*\tilde{V}_I \twoheadrightarrow f_*V_I$ is a v_J-small fibration, because f_* preserves pullbacks. Suppose f is a pullback of u along $k : J \to U$ with upper morphism $h : I \to \tilde{U}$. Then $f_*v_I \cong f_*h^*v_{\tilde{U}} \cong k^*u_*v_{\tilde{U}}$ by the Beck-Chevalley condition. Now $u_*v_{\tilde{U}}$ is a small fibration by assumption, and thus so is f_*v_I.

It is easy to show that w is a fibred universe using Lemma 4.4. ∎

The change of base along a suitable functor creates a new fibred universe.

Proposition 4.5: Let $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category with a fibred universe $w : \tilde{W} \twoheadrightarrow W$ above $u : \tilde{U} \twoheadrightarrow U$, $\mathbb{A}$ a type-theoretic fibration category with a universe $v : \tilde{V} \twoheadrightarrow V$, and $F : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}$ a functor preserving fibrations, pullbacks of fibrations and acyclic cofibrations. Suppose Fv is a pullback of u along a morphism $h : FV \to U$ with upper morphism $k : F\tilde{V} \to \tilde{U}$. Then $(v, h^*w) : (\tilde{V}, k^*\tilde{W}) \twoheadrightarrow (V, h^*W)$ is a fibred universe in $F^*\mathbb{E}$.

Proof: First we show that a fibration $(s, f) : (I, A) \twoheadrightarrow (J, B)$ is (v, h^*w)-small if and only if s is v-small and f is w-small. The "only if" part is trivial. To show the converse, suppose s is v-small and f is w-small. Then s is a pullback of v along some morphism $t : J \to V$. Since f is a w-small fibration and $pf = Fs$ is a pullback of u along $h \circ Ft$, f is a pullback of w along some morphism k above $h \circ Ft$ by the condition 2 of Definition 4.2. Therefore f is a pullback of h^*w along the induced morphisms $B \to h^*W$ above Ft, and this means that (s, f) is a pullback of (v, h^*w) in $F^*\mathbb{E}$.

We show that (v, h^*w) is a universe in $F^*\mathbb{E}$. The conditions 1 and 3 of Definition 4.1 follows from the above characterization of (v, h^*w)-small fibrations. To show the condition 2, let $(s, f) : (I, A) \twoheadrightarrow (J, B)$ and $(t, g) : (J, B) \twoheadrightarrow (K, C)$ be (v, h^*w)-small fibrations. By the construction of dependent products in $F^*\mathbb{E}$ described in the proof of Proposition 3.14, it is enough to show that $\varepsilon'^*\Pi_g A \twoheadrightarrow C$ is a w-small fibration, where $\varepsilon' : F(\Pi_t I) \to \Pi_{Ft} FI$ is the canonical morphism. This fibration factors as



Since $\Pi_g f$, $F(\Pi_t s)$ and $\Pi_{Ft} Fs$ are small fibrations, k and l are w-small by the condition 3 of Definition 4.2, and h is w-small by the condition 4. The left square is a pullback, and thus h' is a w-small fibration and so is $\varepsilon'^*\Pi_g A \twoheadrightarrow C$.

It is clear that the new universe (v, h^*w) is a fibred universe in $F^*\mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{A}$. ∎

B. Univalence in a Fibred Setting

For a fibration $u : \tilde{U} \twoheadrightarrow U$, write $E(u) \twoheadrightarrow U \times U$ for the fibration corresponding to the type $a : U, b : U \vdash \tilde{U}(a) \simeq \tilde{U}(b)$, where $A \simeq B \equiv \Sigma_{f:A\to B}(\Sigma_{g:B\to A}\Pi_{x:A} g(fx) = x) \times (\Sigma_{h:B\to A}\Pi_{y:B} f(hy) = y)$. The object $E(u)$ has the following universal property: for a morphism $\langle a, b\rangle : X \to U \times U$, there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between the set of the morphisms $X \to E(u)$ over $U \times U$ and the set of the quintuples $(f : a^*\tilde{U} \to b^*\tilde{U}, g : b^*\tilde{U} \to a^*\tilde{U}, \sigma : a^*\tilde{U} \to P_U\tilde{U}, h : b^*\tilde{U} \to a^*\tilde{U}, \tau : b^*\tilde{U} \to P_U\tilde{U})$ such that f, g and h are over X and the following diagrams commute



Note that this definition depends on the choice of path object $P_U\tilde{U}$, and we assume that every fibration has a fixed path

object in the rest of this section. There are canonical morphisms $(f_u : \pi_1^*\tilde{U} \to \pi_2^*\tilde{U}, g_u : \pi_2^*\tilde{U} \to \pi_1^*\tilde{U}, \sigma_u : \pi_1^*\tilde{U} \to P_U\tilde{U}, h_u : \pi_2^*\tilde{U} \to \pi_1^*\tilde{U}, \tau_u : \pi_2^*\tilde{U} \to P_U\tilde{U})$ corresponding to the identity $E(u) \to E(u)$, where $\pi_1, \pi_2 : E(u) \to U$ are projections. There is a canonical morphism $e(u) : U \to E(U)$ over the diagonal morphism $U \to U \times U$ which corresponds to the identity function.

Definition 4.6: A fibration $u : \tilde{U} \twoheadrightarrow U$ is *univalent* if the canonical morphism $e(u) : U \to E(u)$ is an acyclic cofibration.

Proposition 4.7: Let $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category, $u : \tilde{U} \twoheadrightarrow U$ a fibration in $\mathbb{B}$ and $v : \tilde{V} \twoheadrightarrow V$ a fibration in $\mathbb{E}_1$. Suppose u and v are univalent and u_* preserves acyclic cofibrations. Then the fibration w in Proposition 4.3 is univalent.

Proof: We show that the canonical morphism $e(w) : W \to E(w)$ is an acyclic cofibration. It is enough to show that the canonical morphism $e(u) : U \to E(u)$ and the induced morphism $W \to e(u)^*E(w)$ are acyclic cofibrations in $\mathbb{B}$ and $\mathbb{E}_U$ respectively. The morphism $e(u)$ is an acyclic cofibration by assumption. The object $e(u)^*E(w)$ corresponds to the type $a : U \mid b : \Pi_{s:\tilde{U}(a)}V, b' : \Pi_{s:\tilde{U}(a)}V \vdash \Pi_{s:\tilde{U}(a)}\tilde{V}(bs) \simeq \tilde{V}(b's)$, and this type also corresponds to the object $u_*!_{\tilde{U}}^*E(v)$ where $!_{\tilde{U}}$ is the unique arrow $\tilde{U} \to 1$. Thus the morphism $W \to e(u)^*E(w)$ is isomorphic to $u_*!_{\tilde{U}}^*e(v) : W \to u_*!_{\tilde{U}}^*E(v)$ along $e(u)^*E(w) \cong u_*!_{\tilde{U}}^*E(v)$. The latter morphism $u_*!_U^*e(v)$ is an acyclic cofibration because v is univalent and the reindexing functor and u_* preserve acyclic cofibrations. ∎

Lemma 4.8: Let

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U}' & \longrightarrow & \tilde{U} \\ {\scriptstyle u'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle u} \\ U' & \xrightarrow[f]{} & U \end{array}$$

be a pullback square in a type-theoretic fibration category. Then

$$\begin{array}{ccc} E(u') & \longrightarrow & E(u) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U' \times U' & \xrightarrow[f\times f]{} & U \times U \end{array}$$

is a pullback square.

Proof: The fibration $E(u') \twoheadrightarrow U' \times U'$ corresponds to the type $x : U', y : U' \vdash \tilde{U}'(x) \simeq \tilde{U}'(y)$. But $\tilde{U}'(x) \simeq \tilde{U}'(y) \equiv \tilde{U}(fx) \simeq \tilde{U}(fy)$, which corresponds to a pullback of $E(u)$ along $f \times f$. ∎

Proposition 4.9: Let $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category with a univalent fibration $w : \tilde{W} \twoheadrightarrow W$ above $u : \tilde{U} \twoheadrightarrow U$, $\mathbb{A}$ a type-theoretic fibration category with a univalent fibration $v : \tilde{V} \twoheadrightarrow V$, and $F : \mathbb{A} \to \mathbb{B}$ a functor preserving fibrations, pullbacks of fibrations and acyclic cofibrations. Suppose Fv is a pullback of u along a morphism $h : FV \to U$ with upper morphism $k : FV \to \tilde{U}$. Then $(v, h^*w) : (\tilde{V}, k^*W) \twoheadrightarrow (V, h^*W)$ is a univalent fibration in $F^*\mathbb{E}$.

Proof: We first describe the canonical morphism $e(v, h^*w) : (V, h^*W) \to E(v, h^*w)$ in $F^*\mathbb{E}$. There is a canonical morphism $c : F(E(v)) \to E(Fv)$ corresponding to $(F(f_v), F(g_v), F(\sigma_v), F(h_v), F(\tau_v))$, where we choose $F(P_V\tilde{V})$ as a path object of $F(v)$. It is easy to show that $E(v, h^*w)$ is a reindexing of $E(h^*w)$ along c and $e(v, h^*w)$ is the induced morphism $f : h^*W \to c^*E(h^*w)$





checking the universal property.

We have to show that $f : h^*W \to c^*E(h^*w)$ is an acyclic cofibration. By Lemma 4.8, $E(h^*w)$ is a pullback of $E(w)$ along the morphism $\bar{h} \times \bar{h} : h^*W \times h^*W \to W \times W$. The morphism $\bar{h} \times \bar{h}$ is a cartesian morphism and so is the upper morphism $E(h^*w) \to E(w)$. Hence in the following diagram in $\mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$





the horizontal morphisms are cartesian, and $F(e(v))$ and $e(w)$ are acyclic cofibrations. Thus f is an acyclic cofibration by Proposition 3.13. ∎

Example 4.10: Let $\mathbb{C}$ be a type-theoretic fibration category with a univalent universe $u : \tilde{U} \to U$. Consider the codomain functor $\mathbf{cod} : (\mathbb{C}^{\to})_{\mathrm{f}} \to \mathbb{C}$. Its fiber at 1 is $\mathbb{C}$ which has a univalent universe u. The fiber at an object A is $(\mathbb{C}/A)_{\mathrm{f}}$ whose type-theoretic structure is inherited from $\mathbb{C}$. Thus each $A \times u$ is a universe in $(\mathbb{C}/A)_{\mathrm{f}}$. Since $u_* : (\mathbb{C}/\tilde{U})_{\mathrm{f}} \to (\mathbb{C}/U)_{\mathrm{f}}$ is given by dependent products, it preserves small fibrations. Hence the codomain functor has a fibred universe above u by Proposition 4.3. Since the univalence axiom implies the function extensionality, u_* preserves acyclic cofibrations. Thus this fibred universe is univalent by Proposition 4.7.

Example 4.11: Let $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category with a fibred universe $w : \tilde{W} \to W$ above $u : \tilde{U} \to U$. Suppose w is univalent (and so is u). Then there is a pullback in $\mathbb{B}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{U} \times \tilde{U} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{U} \\ {\scriptstyle u\times u}\downarrow & & \downarrow \\ U \times U & \longrightarrow & U \end{array}$$

because $u \times u$ is the composition of $u \times 1$ and $1 \times u$ which are u-small fibrations. By Proposition 4.5 and 4.9, the relational

model $\mathbf{Rel}(p)$ has a univalent universe.

Corollary 3.20 also holds for a Martin-Löf type theory with a univalent universe and a fibred type-theoretic fibration category with a fibred univalent universe.

Corollary 4.12: Let $p : \mathbb{E} \to \mathbb{B}$ be a fibred type-theoretic fibration category with a fibred univalent universe, and $R : \mathbb{T}(M) \to \mathbb{E}$ a logical predicate on an interpretation $F : \mathbb{T}(M) \to \mathbb{B}$ in $\mathbb{B}$ of a Martin-Löf type theory M with a univalent universe. Then for any term $a : A \vdash t(a) : B(a)$, there exists a term $a : FA \mid r : RA(a) \vdash \hat{t}(a; r) : RB(a, Ft(a); r)$ in the internal language for p, where $\hat{t}$ is the induced morphism $RA \to (Ft)^* RB$ from $Rt : RA \to RB$.

V. Relational Parametricity on Homotopy Type Theory

In this last section we show a relational parametricity result on homotopy type theory. It follows from the relational parametricity that every polymorphic endofunction on a loop space is homotopic to some iterated concatenation of a loop.

Theorem 5.1 (Relational Parametricity): In the Martin-Löf type theory with univalent universe $\mathcal{U}$, empty type $\mathbf{0} : \mathcal{U}$, unit type $\mathbf{1} : \mathcal{U}$, two point type $\mathbf{2} : \mathcal{U}$, type of natural numbers $\mathbb{N} : \mathcal{U}$ and unit circle $\mathbb{S}^1 : \mathcal{U}$, define a context $\gamma : \Gamma, \gamma' : \Gamma, \rho : R_\Gamma(\gamma, \gamma')$ for each context Γ and a type $\gamma : \Gamma, \gamma' : \Gamma, \rho : R_\Gamma(\gamma, \gamma'), a : A(\gamma), a' : A(\gamma') \vdash R_A(\rho, a, a')$ for each type $\Gamma \vdash A$ such that:

- $R_() \equiv ()$ for the empty context ();
- $R_{\Gamma, A}((\gamma, a), (\gamma', a')) \equiv \rho : R_\Gamma(\gamma, \gamma'), r : R_A(\rho, a, a')$;
- $c : \Sigma_{A(\gamma)} B(\gamma), c' : \Sigma_{A(\gamma')} B(\gamma') \vdash R_{\Sigma_A B}(\rho, c, c') \equiv \Sigma_{r : R_A(\rho, \pi_1(c), \pi_1(c'))} R_B(r, \pi_2(c), \pi_2(c'))$;
- $f : \Pi_{A(\gamma)} B(\gamma), f' : \Pi_{A(\gamma')} B(\gamma') \vdash R_{\Pi_A B}(\rho, f, f') \equiv \Pi_{a : A(\gamma), a' : A(\gamma'), r : R_A(\rho, a, a')} R_B(r, fa, f'a')$;
- $r : R_A(\rho, a, a'), s : R_A(\rho, b, b'), p : a = b, p' : a' = b' \vdash R_{=_A}(r, s, p, p') \equiv r =_{\langle p, p' \rangle} s$;
- $c : C, c' : C \vdash R_C(c, c') \equiv c = c'$ for $C \equiv \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{S}^1$;
- $R_\mathcal{U}(X, X') \equiv X \to X' \to \mathcal{U}$.

Then for every term $\Gamma \vdash t : A$, there exists an associated term $\gamma : \Gamma, \gamma' : \Gamma, \rho : R_\Gamma(\gamma, \gamma') \vdash \hat{t} : R_A(\rho, t(\gamma), t(\gamma'))$.

Proof: Let $\mathbb{T}$ be the syntactic category of the type theory. Consider the relational model $p : \mathbf{Rel}(\mathbb{T}) \to \mathbb{T}$ for the codomain functor $(\mathbb{T}^{\to})_\mathrm{f} \to \mathbb{T}$. By Example 4.10 and 4.11, the total category $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbb{T})$ has a univalent universe. Syntactically, the universe in the relational model is the type family $A : \mathcal{U}, B : \mathcal{U} \vdash A \to B \to \mathcal{U}$ type. It is easy to show that $R_\mathbf{0}$, $R_\mathbf{1}$, $R_\mathbf{2}$, $R_\mathbb{N}$ and $R_{\mathbb{S}^1}$ are empty type, unit type, two point type, type of natural numbers and unit circle, respectively, in the model $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbb{T})$ by checking the induction principles for these types. Hence R defines a logical predicate $R : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbf{Rel}(\mathbb{T})$ on the trivial interpretation $\mathbf{id} : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{T}$. The conclusion follows from Corollary 4.12. ∎

As a corollary of Theorem 5.1 we have the homotopy unicity property on functions parametrized over the small types.

Example 5.2: We show that any closed term $t : \Pi_{X:\mathcal{U}} X \to X$ must be homotopic to the identity function, that is, the type $\Pi_{X:\mathcal{U}} \Pi_{x:X} tx = x$ is inhabited.

First we show the *naturality* of t, that is, the type $\Pi_{X:\mathcal{U}, Y:\mathcal{U}} \Pi_{f:X\to Y} \Pi_{x:X} f(tx) = t(fx)$ is inhabited. By Theorem 5.1 we have a term

$$\hat{t} : \Pi_{X:\mathcal{U}, Y:\mathcal{U}, P:X\to Y\to\mathcal{U}} \Pi_{x:X, y:Y, p:P(x,y)} P(tx, ty).$$

For $X : \mathcal{U}$, $Y : \mathcal{U}$ and $f : X \to Y$, letting $P(x, y) \equiv fx = y$, we have $\Pi_{x:X, y:Y, p:fx=y} f(tx) = ty$. Taking $y \equiv fx$ and $p \equiv \mathsf{refl}$, we have $\Pi_{x:X} f(tx) = t(fx)$.

Now let $X \equiv \mathbf{1}$. Then a function $f : \mathbf{1} \to Y$ corresponds to an element $y : Y$, and thus $ty = t(f*) = f(t*) = f* = y$ where $* : \mathbf{1}$ is the constructor of the type $\mathbf{1}$. This argument except the existence of $\hat{t}$ can be done inside the type theory. Therefore the type $\Pi_{X:\mathcal{U}} \Pi_{x:X} tx = x$ is inhabited.

Remark 5.3: As in [1, Exercise 6.9], the law of excluded middle violates the homotopy unicity property of polymorphic identity: using the law of excluded middle, we can construct a term $t : \Pi_{X:\mathcal{U}} X \to X$ such that $t_\mathbf{2} 0 \equiv 1$ and $t_\mathbf{2} 1 \equiv 0$ where $0 : \mathbf{2}$ and $1 : \mathbf{2}$ are the constructors of the type $\mathbf{2}$. Example 5.2 says that the univalence axiom does not violate the property on the contrast.

Example 5.4: Let $t : \Pi_{X:\mathcal{U}} \Pi_{x:X} x = x \to x = x$ be a closed term. We show that t is homotopic to some iterated concatenation of a loop, that is, the type $\Sigma_{n:\mathbb{Z}} \Pi_{X:\mathcal{U}} \Pi_{x:X} \Pi_{p:x=x} tp = p^n$ is inhabited. Note that in a type theory with a two point type $\mathbf{2} : \mathcal{U}$, a coproduct of two small types $A : \mathcal{U}$ and $B : \mathcal{U}$ is defined as $A + B \equiv \Sigma_{x:\mathbf{2}}[A, B](x)$ where $[A, B] : \mathbf{2} \to \mathcal{U}$ is defined by recursion as $[A, B](0) \equiv A$ and $[A, B](1) \equiv B$. In particular, the type $\mathbb{Z} : \mathcal{U}$ of integers is defined as $\mathbb{Z} \equiv \mathbb{N} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbb{N}$.

First we show the naturality of t, that is, the type $\Pi_{X:\mathcal{U}, Y:\mathcal{U}} \Pi_{f:X\to Y} \Pi_{x:X} \Pi_{p:x=x} f(tp) = t(fp)$ is inhabited. By Theorem 5.1 we have a term

$$\hat{t} : \Pi_{X:\mathcal{U}, Y:\mathcal{U}, W:X\to Y\to\mathcal{U}} \Pi_{x:X, y:Y, w:W(x,y)} \Pi_{p:x=x, q:y=y, \beta:w=_{\langle p,q\rangle} w} w =_{\langle tp, tq\rangle} w.$$

For $X : \mathcal{U}$, $Y : \mathcal{U}$ and $f : X \to Y$, let $W(x, y) \equiv fx = y$. Then, for $w : fx = y$, $p : x = x$ and $q : y = y$, $w =_{\langle p,q\rangle} w$ is equivalent to $fp \cdot w = w \cdot q$. For $x : X$ and $p : x = x$, taking $y \equiv fx$, $w \equiv \mathsf{refl}$, $q \equiv fp$ and $\beta \equiv \mathsf{refl}$, we have $f(tp) = t(fp)$.

Let $Y : \mathcal{U}$, $y : Y$ and $q : y = y$ which correspond to a function $f : \mathbb{S}^1 \to Y$ as $f(\mathsf{base}) \equiv y$ and $f(\mathsf{loop}) = q$, where $\mathsf{base} : \mathbb{S}^1$ is the point constructor of $\mathbb{S}^1$ and $\mathsf{loop} : \mathsf{base} = \mathsf{base}$ is the path constructor. Now $t(\mathsf{loop})$ is a loop in $\mathbb{S}^1$ at base, and thus $t(\mathsf{loop}) = \mathsf{loop}^n$ for some integer n. Hence $tq = t(f(\mathsf{loop})) = f(t(\mathsf{loop})) = f(\mathsf{loop}^n) = f(\mathsf{loop})^n = q^n$. This argument can be internalized except the existence of $\hat{t}$.

VI. Conclusion and Future Work

We conclude that fibred type-theoretic fibration categories are useful in the study of homotopy type theory, as seen in Section V. We expect that there are more and more applications including canonicity and disjunction and existence property of homotopy type theory, which are left for future work.

There also is a theoretical interest related to higher category theory. Kapulkin constructed a locally cartesian closed quasi-category from a categorical model of dependent type theory [20]. We conjecture that fibred type-theoretic fibration categories are carried to cartesian fibrations [6, Definition 2.4.2.1] by his construction. This conjecture suggests that there is an $(\infty, 1)$-categorical description of logical predicates in terms of cartesian fibrations.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I would like to thank my supervisor Masahito Hasegawa, Shin-ya Katsumata and Naohiko Hoshino for discussions and helpful feedback and corrections to drafts of this paper. I would also like to thank Peter LeFanu Lumsdaine for telling me a related concept of fibrations of fibration categories.

REFERENCES

[1] Univalent Foundations Program, *Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics*, Institute for Advanced Study, 2013. [Online]. Available: http://homotopytypetheory.org/book/

[2] P. Martin-Löf, "An intuitionistic theory of types: Predicative part," in *Logic Colloquium '73Proceedings of the Logic Colloquium*, ser. Studies in Logic and the Foundations of Mathematics, H. Rose and J. Shepherdson, Eds. Elsevier, 1975, vol. 80, pp. 73 – 118.

[3] M. Hovey, *Model Categories*, ser. Mathematical surveys and monographs. American Mathematical Society, 2007.

[4] D. G. Quillen, *Homotopical algebra*. Springer, 1967.

[5] J. E. Bergner, *A Survey of* $(\infty, 1)$*-Categories*, ser. The IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications. New York, NY: Springer New York, 2010, vol. 152, ch. 2, pp. 69–83.

[6] J. Lurie, *Higher topos theory*. Princeton University Press, 2009.

[7] "HoTT-Agda library." [Online]. Available: https://github.com/HoTT/HoTT-Agda

[8] "HoTT library." [Online]. Available: https://github.com/HoTT/HoTT

[9] M. Shulman, "Univalence for inverse diagrams and homotopy canonicity," *Mathematical Structures in Computer Science*, vol. 25, no. 05, pp. 1203–1277, 2015.

[10] C. Hermida, "Fibrations, logical predicates and indeterminates," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Edinburgh, 1993.

[11] B. Jacobs, *Categorical logic and type theory*, 1st ed. Elsevier Science, Dec. 1999.

[12] J. C. Reynolds, "Types, abstraction, and parametric polymorphism," in *Information Processing '83*, R. Mason, Ed. North-Holland, 1983, pp. 513–523.

[13] P. Wadler, "Theorems for free!" in *Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Functional Programming Languages and Computer Architecture*, ser. FPCA '89. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 1989, pp. 347–359.

[14] R. Atkey, N. Ghani, and P. Johann, "A relationally parametric model of dependent type theory," in *Proceedings of the 41st ACM SIGPLAN-SIGACT Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*, ser. POPL '14. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2014, pp. 503–515.

[15] J.-P. Bernardy, P. Jansson, and R. Paterson, "Proofs for free: Parametricity for dependent types," *Journal of Functional Programming*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 107–152, 003 2012.

[16] A. Roig, "Model category structures in bifibred categories," *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, vol. 95, no. 2, pp. 203–223, Aug. 1994.

[17] A. E. Stanculescu, "Bifibrations and weak factorisation systems," *Applied Categorical Structures*, vol. 20, no. 1, pp. 19–30, 2012.

[18] K. Szumiło, "Two models for the homotopy theory of cocomplete homotopy theories," Ph.D. dissertation, University of Bonn, Nov. 2014.

[19] M. Shulman, "Univalence for inverse EI diagrams," Jul. 2016. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1508.02410v2

[20] C. Kapulkin, "Locally cartesian closed quasicategories from type theory," Jul. 2015. [Online]. Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1507.02648v1